arXiv:math/0502046v3 [math.AG] 17 Feb 2005

RESULTANTS AND SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS

HELGE MAAKESTAD

Abstract. We use the symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbf{P}^1_k)$ of the projective line to describe the resultant scheme $R_{n,m}$ in $\mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k$ as a quotient X/G where $X = (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^{n+m}$ and $G \subseteq Aut_k(X)$ is a finite subgroup. As a special case we give a description of the discriminant scheme in terms of the symmetric product.

Contents

Introduction 1

1. Elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials 2

2. Resultants and symmetric products 5

References 9

Introduction

In this paper we will consider the resultant scheme $R_{n,m}$ whose closed points parametrize pairs of homogeneous polynomials (f, g) of degree n and m in two variables with common roots. Given general degree n and m homogeneous polynomials $f(x_0, x_1)$ and $g(x_0, x_1)$ in two independent variables x_0, x_1 over a fixed algebraically closed basefield k of characteristic zero. There exists a polynomial $\mathrm{Res}(f, g)$ in the coefficients of f and g which is zero if and only if f and g have a common root. The polynomial $\mathrm{Res}(f, g)$ is an irreducible bi-homogeneous polynomial defined up to a constant. The *resultant scheme of degree n and m* denoted $R_{n,m}$ is by definition the projective subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k$ defined by $\mathrm{Res}(f, g)$. This scheme has been studied by several authors (see [3], [5]). The novelty of this paper is the geometric construction of $R_{n,m}$ using the symmetric product.

It is a standard fact that projective n-space might be described as follows: There exists an action of the symmetric group Σ_n on n letters on n copies of the projective line $\mathbf{P}^1_k$:

$$\Sigma_n \times (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \to (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n,$$

with the property that the quotient - the symmetric product -

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbf{P}^1_k) := (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n/\Sigma_n$$

is isomorphic to projective n-space.

Date: 2003.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14A15 .

Key words and phrases. Symmetric products, resultants, discriminants, finite groups, invariant theory.

The *resultant scheme* $R_{n,m}$ of two polynomials f and g is the subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k$ defined as the zero-set of the resultant polynomial $\operatorname{Res}(f,g)$. We prove in Theorem 2.5 that the resultant scheme $R_{n,m}$ may be described as a quotient $X_{n,m}/\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m$, where $X_{n,m}$ is a union of products of projective lines.

As a special case we consider the discriminant scheme D_n which is a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}^n_k$ and consider the scheme-theoretic inverse image $X_n := \pi^{-1}(D_n)$, where $\pi : (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbf{P}^1_k)$ is the canonical quotient-map. We prove in Theorem 2.8 that the discriminant scheme D_n may be described as X_n/Σ_n where the Σ_n-action on X_n is the one induced from the Σ_n-action on $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n$. Hence we get a quotient map

$$X_n \rightarrow D_n,$$

where X_n is a union of products of projective lines with non-reduced structure.

It would be interesting to give a geometric construction of Jouanolou's multivariate resultant as found in [5] generalizing the construction in this paper, and I hope to return to this problem sometime in the future.

1. Elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials

In this section we define the *elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials* and relate them to the theory of the resultant of two homogeneous polynomials in two variables, and the discriminant of a homogeneous polynomial in two variables following [7].

In general, let A be a commutative ring with unity, and let $Z, v_0, \cdots, v_n$ and $w_0, \cdots, w_m$ be algebraically independent variables over A. We consider two polynomials

$$f_v(Z) = v_0 Z^n + v_1 Z^{n-1} + \cdots + v_n$$
$$g_w(Z) = w_0 Z^m + v_1 Z^{m-1} + \cdots + w_m$$

and make the following definition:

Definition 1.1. The resultant of f_v and g_w denoted by $\operatorname{Res}(f_v, g_w)$ is given by the following determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix}
v_0 & v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_{n-1} & v_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{n-2} & v_{n-1} & v_n & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & \vdots & v_n & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & v_0 & v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \\
w_1 & w_2 & w_2 & \cdots & w_{m-1} & w_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & w_1 & w_2 & \cdots & w_{m-2} & w_{m-1} & w_m & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \vdots & w_{m-1} & w_m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_1 & w_2 & w_3 & \cdots & 0 & w_m
\end{vmatrix}$$

The resultant $\operatorname{Res}(f_v, g_w)$ is bihomogeneous of degree (m,n) in the variables v and w. This follows directly from the definition.

Let $x_{01}, x_{11}, \cdots, x_{0n}, x_{1n}, y_{01}, y_{11}, \cdots, y_{0m}, y_{1m}, X, Y$ be algebraically independent over A. Consider the polynomials

$$F_n(x_{ij}, X, Y) = (x_{01}X - x_{11}Y) \cdots (x_{0n}X - x_{1n}Y) =$$

$$p_0(x_{ij})X^n - p_1(x_{ij})X^{n-1}Y + \cdots + (-1)^n p_n(x_{ij})Y^n$$

and

$$G_m(y_{ij},X,Y)=(y_{01}X-y_{11}Y)\cdots(y_{0m}X-y_{1m}Y)=$$
$$p_0(y_{ij})X^m-p_1(y_{ij})X^{m-1}Y+\cdots+(-1)^m p_m(y_{ij})Y^m.$$

We make the following definition:

Definition 1.2. The polynomials $p_0(x_{ij}),\cdots,p_n(x_{ij})$ are the *elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials* with respect to the variables x_{ij}.

Example 1.3. *We compute the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials in an example.*

Consider the case $n=2$: We get

$$F_2(x_{ij},X,Y)=(x_{01}X-x_{11}Y)(x_{02}X-x_{12}Y)=$$
$$x_{01}x_{02}X^2-(x_{01}x_{12}+x_{11}x_{02})XY+x_{11}x_{12}Y^2$$

hence

$$p_0(x_{ij})=x_{01}x_{02},$$
$$p_1(x_{ij})=x_{01}x_{12}+x_{11}x_{02},$$

and

$$p_2(x_{ij})=x_{11}x_{12}.$$

Proposition 1.4. *The elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials are algebraically independent over A.*

Proof. Consider the polynomial $F_n(x_{ij},X,Y)$, letting $Y=x_{0i}=1$ for $i=1,\cdots,n$, we get the polynomial

$$(X-x_{11})\cdots(X-x_{1n})=X^n-s_1(x_{1i})X^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^n s_n(x_{1i}).$$

Assume we have a non-trivial algebraic relation involving the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials, letting $Y=x_{0i}=1$ for $i=1,\cdots,n$, we get a non-trivial algebraic relation involving the elementary symmetric polynomials, which we know are algebraically independent over A. This is a contradiction, hence we have proved the assertion. □

Put $Z=X/Y$ and $t_i=x_{1i}/x_{0i}, u_i=y_{1i}/y_{0i}$. We may write

$$F_n(x_{ij},X,Y)=x_{01}\cdots x_{0n}Y^n(Z-t_1)\cdots(Z-t_n)=$$
$$Y^n(p_0(x_{ij})Z^n-p_0(x_{ij})s_1(t)Z^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^n p_0(x_{ij})s_n(t))$$

and

$$G_m(y_{ij},X,Y)=y_{01}\cdots y_{0m}Y^m(Z-u_1)\cdots(Z-u_m)=$$
$$Y^m(p_0(y_{ij})Z^m-p_0(y_{ij})s_1(u)Z^{m-1}+\cdots+(-1)^m p_0(y_{ij})s_m(u)).$$

Notation: $v_0=p_0(x_{ij}), v_k=(-1)^k p_0(x_{ij})s_k(t)$ for $k=1,\cdots,n$, and $w_0=p_0(y_{ij}), w_l=(-1)^l p_0(y_{ij})s_l(u)$ for $l=1,\cdots,m$. From proposition 1.4 it follows that the new variables v_i,w_j are algebraically independent over A. It also follows that the variables $v_0,t_1,\cdots,t_n,w_0,u_1,\cdots,u_m$ are algebraically independent over A, hence we may consider the following factorization:

$$F_n(x_{ij},X,Y)=Y^n(v_0Z^n+\cdots+v_n)=Y^n f_v(Z)$$
$$G_m(y_{ij},X,Y)=Y^m(w_0Z^m+\cdots+w_m)=Y^m g_w(Z).$$

We get a theorem:

Theorem 1.5. *With the previous definitions the following holds:*

$$\operatorname{Res}(f_v, g_w) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m}(x_{1i}y_{0j} - x_{0i}y_{1j}) = v_0^m w_0^n \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m}(t_i - u_j).$$

Proof. See [7], section VI.8. □

The formula in Theorem 1.5 is related to the *Poisson formula* as found in [5].

Note that if $f(Z), g(Z)$ are polynomials with coefficients in an algebraically closed field it follows that $\operatorname{Res}(f,g) = 0$ if and only if f and g have at least one common root. We also see that $\operatorname{Res}(f, f') = 0$ if and only if f has a root of multiplicity at least 2.

Definition 1.6. Given a polynomial $f_v(Z) = v_0(Z - t_1)(Z - t_2)\cdots(Z - t_n) = v_0 Z^n + \cdots v_n$ we define its discriminant $D(f_v)$ as follows:

$$D(f_v) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} v_0^{2n-2} \prod_{i \neq j}(t_i - t_j)$$

Lemma 1.7. $D(f_v) = v_0^{2n-2}\prod_{i<j}(t_i - t_j)^2 = \prod_{i<j}(x_{1i}x_{0j} - x_{0i}x_{1j})^2$

Proof. Easy calculation. □

We have a relation with the resultant:

Proposition 1.8. $\operatorname{Res}(f_v, f_v') = v_0^{2n-1}\prod_{i\neq j}(t_i - t_j) =$

$$(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} v_0 D(f_v) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} v_0^{2n-1} \prod_{i<j}(t_i - t_j)^2$$

hence formally we may write

$$D(f_v) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{1}{v_0} \operatorname{Res}(f_v, f_v').$$

Proof. Easy calculation. □

Fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. The set of homogeneous polynomials $F_p(X,Y) = p_0X^n + p_1X^{n-1}Y + \cdots + p_nY^n$ in $k[X,Y]$ of degree n is in one-to-one correspondence with the closed points of $\mathbf{P}^n_k$, with homogeneous coordinates $p_0, \cdots, p_n$. The *discriminant scheme of degree n binary forms D_n* is by definition the closed sub-scheme of $\mathbf{P}^n_k$ defined by the discriminant polynomial $D(f_p) = \frac{1}{p_0}\operatorname{Res}(f_p, f_p')$, where

$$f_p(Z) = p_0Z^n + p_1Z^{n-1} + \cdots + p_n.$$

Lemma 1.9. *(Sylvester formula) The polynomial* $\Delta_n := \frac{1}{p_0}\operatorname{Res}(f_p, f_p')$ *is given by the following determinant*

$$\frac{1}{p_0}\begin{vmatrix}
p_0 & p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{n-1} & p_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & p_0 & p_1 & \cdots & p_{n-2} & p_{n-1} & p_n & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & p_0 & p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_n \\
p_1 & 2p_2 & 3p_2 & \cdots & (n-1)p_{n-1} & np_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & p_1 & 2p_2 & \cdots & (n-2)p_{n-2} & (n-1)p_{n-1} & np_n & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & p_1 & 2p_2 & 3p_3 & \cdots & np_n
\end{vmatrix}$$

Proof. This follows from proposition 1.8 and definition 1.1. ☐

Example 1.10. *We compute the polynomial* $\frac{1}{p_0}\operatorname{Res}(f_p, f_p')$ *in two examples.*

Using the Sylvester-formula 1.9 one computes the discriminant of a quadratic form:

$$\Delta_2 = 4p_0p_2 - p_1^2$$

and a cubic form:

$$\Delta_3 = 27p_0^2p_3^2 + 4p_0p_2^3 + 4p_1^3p_3 - p_1^2p_2^2 - 18p_0p_1p_2p_3.$$

2. Resultants and symmetric products

In this section we consider the action of Σ_n on $\mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k$. We use the symmetric product $\operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbf{P}^1_k)$ of the projective line to describe the resultant-scheme $R_{n,m}$ and discriminant-scheme D_n as quotients X/G where $G \subseteq Aut_k(X)$ is a finite subgroup. Let in this section k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Recall first some standard facts from invariant-theory for finite groups. Assume Y is a projective sub-scheme of $\mathbf{P}^n_k$ and G a finite group acting linearly on the homogeneous coordinate-ring S of Y. We assume the action $\tilde{\sigma} : G \times S \rightarrow S$ is graded, ie $G(S_d) \subseteq S_d$, hence the invariant-ring S^G is a graded subring of S. The action of G on S induces an action

$$\sigma : G \times Y \rightarrow Y$$

and a quotient Y/G exists. It is given by the inclusion of graded rings $S^G \rightarrow S$. The quotient-map for the action σ is given by the natural map

$$\pi : Y = \operatorname{Proj}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Proj}(S^G) = Y/G. \tag{2.1}$$

The map π has the following properties: it is surjective, affine, maps G-invariant closed subsets to closed subsets and separates G-invariant closed subsets. The orbits of the action σ are closed (see [9]). We state a well known result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of Y/G, and let $X = \pi^{-1}(Z)$ be the scheme-theoretic inverse image. There exists an induced action of G on X, and $X/G \cong Z$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 7.1.4, [2]. ☐

We state another result which will be needed in this section: Assume $W = \operatorname{Proj}(T) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^m_k$ is a projective scheme, and $\tilde{\tau} : H \times T \rightarrow T$ is a graded action of a finite group H on the homogeneous coordinate-ring of W. The action $\tilde{\tau}$ induces an action $\tau : H \times W \rightarrow W$, and we get a product-action

$$\rho : G \times H \times Y \times W \rightarrow Y \times W.$$

Proposition 2.2. *There exists an isomorphism*

$$Y \times W/G \times H \cong Y/G \times W/H.$$

Proof. The proposition follows from Remark 7.1.7 [2] as follows: Let e denote the group consisting of the identity. We have an inclusion of groups

$$G \times e \subseteq G \times H$$

hence we get isomorphisms

$$Y \times W/G \times H \cong Y \times W/G \times e/e \times H \cong$$

$$Y/G \times W/e \times H \cong Y/G \times W/H,$$

and the Proposition follows.

□

Let $X, Y, x_{01}, x_{11}, \cdots, x_{0n}, x_{1n}$ be algebraically independent variables over k. Consider the polynomial

$$F_n(x_{ij}, X, Y) = (x_{01}X - x_{11}Y) \cdots (x_{0n}X - x_{1n}Y)$$

in $k[x_{ij}, X, Y]$. Multiply the parentheses in the expression

$$(x_{01}X - x_{11}Y) \cdots (x_{0n}X - x_{1n}Y)$$

to get a polynomial

$$p_0(x_{ij})X^n - p_1(x_{ij})X^{n-1}Y + \cdots + (-1)^n p_n(x_{ij})Y^n.$$

Recall from definition 1.2 that the polynomials $p_k(x_{ij})$ are the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials with respect to the variables x_{ij}.

Consider the map

$$\pi : \mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$$

defined on closed points by

$$\pi((x_{01} : x_{11}), \cdots, (x_{0n} : x_{1n})) = (p_0(x_{ij}); \cdots ; p_n(x_{ij})).$$

There exist an obvious action σ of the symmetric group Σ_n on $\mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k$, and the map π is invariant with respect to σ because of the following: Consider a closed point $((x_{01} : x_{11}), \cdots, (x_{0n} : x_{1n}))$ in $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n$. We may consider the homogeneous polynomial

$$(x_{01}X + x_{11}Y) \cdots (x_{0n}X + x_{1n}Y) = F_n(x_{ij}, X, Y).$$

Given a permutation σ in Σ_n, it acts naturally on $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n$ and permuting the linear terms in the polynomial $F_n(x_{ij}, X, Y)$ corresponds to the action of Σ_n on $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n$. Permuting the linear terms in the polynomial $F_n(x_{ij}, X, Y)$ does not change the polynomial $F_n(x_{ij}, X, Y)$, and it follows that π is Σ_n-invariant. By definition

$$\mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k = \operatorname{Proj} k[x_{p(1)1} \cdots x_{p(n)n}]$$

where $p(i) = 0, 1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. The ring $k[x_{p(1)1} \cdots x_{p(n)n}]$ is by definition the n-fold Cartesian product of graded rings

$$k[x_{01}, x_{11}] \times_k \cdots \times_k k[x_{0n}, x_{1n}].$$

(See [4], ex.II.5.11for the definition of Cartesian product). The map $\pi : \mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ is defined by the map of graded rings

$$\phi : k[y_0, \cdots, y_n] \to k[x_{p(1)1} \cdots x_{p(n)n}] \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\phi(y_0) = p_0, \cdots, \phi(y_n) = p_n.$$

The action of Σ_n on $\mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k$ lifts to a linear graded action of Σ_n on the homogeneous coordinate-ring $k[x_{p(1)1} \cdots x_{p(n)n}] = k[x_{01}, x_{11}] \times_k \cdots \times_k k[x_{0n}, x_{1n}]$. The action of Σ_n on $k[x_{01}, x_{11}] \times_k \cdots \times_k k[x_{0n}, x_{1n}]$ is the obvious one.

Theorem 2.3. *The invariant-ring $k[x_{p(1)1} \cdots x_{p(n)n}]^{\Sigma_n}$ is generated by the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials.*

Proof. This follows directly from [7], Theorem IV.6.1.

□

Note that Theorem 2.3 is valid over any commutative ring A with unit.

Consider the resultant polynomial $\mathrm{Res}(f_v, g_w)$ and the resultant scheme $R_{n,m}$ defined as the zero-set of the resultant polynomial. We saw in section 1 that the resultant $\mathrm{Res}(f_v, g_w)$ of two polynomials

$$f_v(X) = v_0 X^n + \cdots + v_n$$

and

$$g_w(X) = w_0 X^m + \cdots + w_m,$$

is a bihomogeneous polynomial of degree (n, m), hence it defines a closed subscheme $R_{n,m}$ of $\mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k$. Here we let $\mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k$ have homogeneous coordinates $v_0, \cdots, v_n, w_0, \cdots, w_m$. We have a product-mapping

$$\pi_{n,m} := \pi_n \times \pi_m : (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \times (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^m \to \mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k.$$

There is an obvious group-action

$$\sigma : (\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m) \times (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \times (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^m \to (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \times (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^m,$$

and the pair $(\mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k, \pi_n \times \pi_m)$ is a quotient for the action σ by proposition 2.2. Define $X_{n,m}$ as the scheme-theoretic inverse image $\pi^{-1}_{n,m}(R_{n,m})$. It follows that $X_{n,m}$ is a closed subscheme of $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \times (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^m$. The map $\pi_{n,m}$ is $\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m$-invariant hence there exists an action of $\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m$ on $X_{n,m}$. We get an induced map

$$\tilde{\pi}_{n,m} : X_{n,m} \to R_{n,m}.$$

Lemma 2.4. $X_{n,m} = V(\prod_{i,j}(x_{0i}y_{1j} - x_{1i}y_{0j}))$.

Proof. The lemma follows from theorem 1.5. □

Theorem 2.5. *The induced map $\pi_{n,m} : X_{n,m} \to R_{n,m}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$X_{n,m}/(\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m) \cong R_{n,m}.$$

Proof. By proposition 2.2 the map

$$\pi_{n,m} : (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \times (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^m \to \mathbf{P}^n_k \times \mathbf{P}^m_k$$

is a quotient-map for the $\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m$-action, hence by proposition 2.1 the induced map

$$X_{n,m} \to R_{n,m}$$

is a quotient-map for the $\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m$-action on $X_{n,m}$. □

As a special case we consider the discriminant scheme of degree n binary forms D_n.

Corollary 2.6. *Consider the map*

$$\pi : \mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$$

given by

$$\pi((x_{01} : x_{11}), \cdots , (x_{0n} : x_{1n})) = (p_0(x_{ij}); \cdots ; p_n(x_{ij})),$$

where $p_0, \cdots , p_n$ *are the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials. The map* π *is a quotient-map for the natural* Σ_n*-action on* $\mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k$ *and it follows that* $\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbf{P}^1_k)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbf{P}^n_k$*.*

Proof. By remark 2.1 the quotient $(\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n/\Sigma_n$ is given by the map

$$\mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k = \operatorname{Proj} k[x_{p(1)1}, \cdots , x_{p(n)n}] \to \operatorname{Proj} k[x_{p(1)1} \cdots x_{p(n)n}]^{\Sigma_n}.$$

By theorem 2.3 we have $k[x_{p(1)1} \cdots x_{p(n)n}]^{\Sigma_n}$ equals $k[p_0, \cdots , p_n]$ where $p_0, \cdots , p_n$ are the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials. By proposition 1.4 the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials are algebraically independent over k, hence $\operatorname{Proj} k[p_0, \cdots , p_n] = \mathbf{P}^n_k$, and the result follows. □

Note that corollary 2.6 gives an explicit quotient map

$$\pi : (\mathbf{P}^1_k)^n \to \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbf{P}^1_k) \cong \mathbf{P}^n_k.$$

It is defined in terms of the elementary homogeneous symmetric polynomials. The map π is studied in [6] in a similar situation. It is referred to as the *Viete map.*

The discriminant D_n is a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^n_k$ defined by the polynomial Δ_n, and it is well known that Δ_n is an irreducible polynomial. It follows that D_n is an irreducible hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}^n_k$. Let X_n be the scheme-theoretic inverse image $\pi^{-1}(D_n)$. There exists an induced map $\tilde{\pi} : X_n \to D_n$. Since π is Σ_n-invariant there exist an induced action of Σ_n on X_n and the map $\tilde{\pi}$ is Σ_n-invariant. We get a description of the scheme X_n:

Lemma 2.7. $X_n = V(\prod_{i<j}(x_{1i}x_{0j} - x_{0i}x_{1j})^2)$

Proof. This follows from lemma 1.7. □

Note that the irreducible components of X_n are products of projective spaces with non-reduced structure.

Theorem 2.8. *The induced map* $\tilde{\pi} : X_n \to D_n$ *is a quotient-map for the natural* Σ_n*-action on* X_n*, hence we get an isomorphim*

$$X_n/\Sigma_n \cong D_n.$$

Proof. Since $\pi : \mathbf{P}^1_k \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^1_k \to \mathbf{P}^n_k$ is a quotient-map, the theorem follows from proposition 2.1. □

Acknowledgements. The paper was revised during a stay at Universite Paris VII financed by a fellowship from the RTN network HPRN-CT-2002-00287, Algebraic K-theory, Linear algebraic groups and related structures and I would like to thank Max Karoubi for an inviation to Paris VII. Thanks also to the referee and Ragni Piene for useful comments.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, Introduction to commutative algebra, *Addison-Wesley Publishing Co.* (1969)

[2] A. Bialynicki-Birula, Quotients by actions of groups, Encyclopediq Math. Sci. 131, *Springer Verlag* (2002), 1-82

[3] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, A. Zelevinsky, Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants, *Birkhäuser Boston* (1994)

[4] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, GTM no. 52, *Springer-Verlag* (1977)

[5] J.P. Jouanolou, Le formalisme du resultant, *Adv. Math.* (1991), 90, 117-263

[6] G. Katz, ”How tangents solve algebraic equations, or a remarkable geometry of discriminant varieties”, *Expo. Math.* (2003) 3, 219-261

[7] S. Lang, Algebra, *Addison-Wesley* (1993)

[8] D. Mumford, Geometric invariant theory, *Springer-Verlag* (1991)

[9] T. A. Springer, Invariant theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 585, *Springer-Verlag* (1977)

Institut de Mathèmatiques, Universite Paris VII
E-mail address: `maakestad@math.jussieu.fr`